INFLUENCE OF PARASITIC CAPACITANCES ON THE POWER OUTPUT OF ELECTRET-BASED ENERGY HARVESTING GENERATORS
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Abstract: The power output of electrostatic energy harvesting generators is usually predicted using a widely accepted formulation derived by Boland et al. in 2003. However, this model neglects the effects caused by parallel parasitic capacitances, namely those built into the device itself and those introduced by the measurement setup. Even if such capacitances are in the pF range, they can cause a substantial power loss and the generator power cannot be reliably predicted. This paper describes the derivation and experimental validation of an extended analytical model, that takes parasitic capacitances into account.
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INTRODUCTION

Boland et al. have previously derived a formula for predicting the power output of electrostatic harvesting generators [1]. Their derivations for the output voltage $V$ and the resulting power $P$, i.e.,

\[ V = \frac{nfA_{max}^2\sigma dR_L}{d + \varepsilon_E (g + 2nfA_{max}R_L\varepsilon_0)} \]  

\[ P = \frac{(2nfA_{max}^2d)^2R_L}{[d + \varepsilon_E (g + 2nfA_{max}R_L\varepsilon_0)]^2} \]  

are widely accepted and applied in the area of electret-based micro energy harvesting generators [2-4]. The model is based on the schematics shown in Fig. 1, where a time-dependent electret surface overlap $A(t)$ induces a current $I(t)$ through a resistive load $R_L$.

The parameters $n$, $A_{max}$, $f$, $T$, and $\sigma$ denote the number of electret-counter-electrode-pairs, maximum overlap area, frequency, period $T$ of $1/nf$, and surface charge density of the electret layer. The current $I(t)$ and the voltage $V(t)$ are rectangular signals. Therefore, the output power $P$ is constant over time.

However, this model neglects the effects caused by the parallel parasitic capacitances $C_p$ indicated in Fig. 1. This capacitance is the sum of capacitance $C_g$ built into the device itself and capacitances introduced by the measurement setup, $C_m$. Even for typical values of $C_p$ in the pF range, $C_p$ can cause a substantial power loss of the generator.

The deviation of the conventional model described by Eq. (2) from experimental data, based on the parameters given in Table. 1, is shown in Fig. 2. Both curves show the same qualitative behavior and the conventional model satisfactorily predicts the measurement results for small resistive loads. However, the measured maximum power output occurs at $300 \, \Omega$, whereas the model predicts $5.5 \, G\Omega$. The two maximum powers deviate by a factor of about 15. The parasitic capacitances $C_p$, as illustrated in Fig. 1, are connected in parallel with the load resistor $R_L$. A certain fraction of $I(t)$ is lost for harvesting since it flows through $C_p$ as a charge and discharge current. The charging time constant of the corresponding capacitance $C_p$, parallel with $R_L$ is $\tau = C_p R_L$. For increasing resistive loads, $\tau$ increases. Above a certain load, the generator is not able to charge and discharge.

Table 1: Parameters to compare calculations and experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>155 , \mu m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{max}$</td>
<td>0.37 , cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_E$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>20 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.93 , mC/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>9.5 , \mu m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_0$</td>
<td>8.85 $10^{-12}$ As/Vm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cp during one period T and the output power reduces drastically.

The next section of this paper describes the derivation and experimental validation of an extended analytical model that takes Cp into account.

PARASITIC CAPACITANCES

In order to obtain an expression for the output voltage V(t) as a function of RL, the generator is modelled as a voltage source having a square signal and internal resistor Ri. The circuit model of the generator is shown in Fig. 3. The amplitude Vmax of the voltage source and the value of Ri are obtained from Eq. (1). A graph of the generator voltage amplitude plotted as a function of RL is shown in Fig. 4. The amplitude Vmax is calculated as

\[ V_{\text{max}} = \lim_{{R_L \to \infty}} V = \frac{\sigma d}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_E} \]  

(3)

The internal resistance is obtained as

\[ R_i = \frac{d + g \varepsilon_E}{2nfA_{\text{max}} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_E} \]  

(4)

Here, the generator square voltage is given by

\[ V_{\text{max}}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma d}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_E}, & 0 \leq t < T/2 \\ \frac{-\sigma d}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_E}, & T/2 \leq t < T \end{cases} \]  

(6)

and the boundary condition for the steady state is

\[ q(0) = -q(T/2) \]  

(7)

The solution of the differential equation can be obtained analytically in the time interval [0; T/2]. The voltage drop over Ri is \( V(t) = q(t)/C_p \). An important quantity is the amplitude of the output voltage, \( V_{\text{amp}} \), at \( t = kT/2 \), with k any positive integer given by

\[ V_{\text{amp}} = \frac{R_L}{R_i + R_L} \left( V_{\text{max}} \tanh \left( \frac{R_i + R_L}{4C_p n f R_i R_L} \right) \right) \]  

(8)

The hyperbolic tangent function is appended to the equation as a result of the parasitic capacitance \( C_p \). If \( C_p \) is neglected, Bolands formula of the voltage divider is recovered.

The effective power of the generator can be calculated as the average of the time dependent output power,
Again, if parasitic capacitances are neglected, the hyperbolic tangent asymptotically approaches 1 and Eq. (9) reduces to Eq. (2).

ELECTRET-BASED DEMONSTRATOR

An electret-based rotational energy harvesting generator was implemented in order to evaluate the accuracy of the new analytical model. The demonstrator setup is shown in Fig. 5. The counter electrode and the electret are placed facing each other, separated by the gap distance $g$. The gap can be varied using a linear stage in the $\mu m$ range and is measured using a laser-deflection detector. The time variation of the overlapping area is realized by rotating the patterned electret chip with respect to the counter electrode chip using a DC stepper motor with frequencies up to 100 Hz.

Both electret and counter electrode chips are shown in Fig. 6. The counter chip contains four metal electrodes processed on a Pyrex substrate. Each of these regions is electrically connected to the opposite counterpart, resulting in two separate counter electrodes, into which charge is induced alternately. The electret chip consists of a Cytop® type CTL-809M (Asahi Glass, Tokyo, Japan) electret layer on top of a metallization. The chips are charged using a corona discharge [5]. The resulting surface charge density is measured using an electrostatic voltmeter. A two-dimensional scan of the measured surface potential of the used chip is shown in Fig. 7. The average surface charge density $\sigma$ is 0.93 mC/m².

The output power of the demonstrator is characterized by measuring the output voltage $V(t)$ across a certain load resistor using an operational amplifier (OPA), as shown in Fig. 1. The OPA, with an input impedance of $10^{14} \Omega$, is used in a voltage follower configuration to decouple the measurement circuit from the demonstrator. A high input impedance amplifier is necessary, since $R_L$ values can be in the GΩ range.

EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION OF THE MODEL

For the practical validation of the new model, measurements were performed with the demonstrator using the parameters in Table 1. Three different configurations of parasitic capacitances are investigated: (i) the demonstrator without additional capacitance, (ii) an additional capacitance of 22 pF in parallel with $R_L$, and (iii) 82 pF in parallel with $R_L$. The experimental results along with those obtained using

$$P = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^{T/2} \frac{V(t)^2}{R_L} dt$$

FIG. 5: Electret-based rotational energy harvesting demonstrator.

FIG. 6: (a) Schematics and (b) photograph of counter electrode and electret chips.

FIG. 7: Two-dimensional scan of the surface potential of the electret chip.
the conventional model only describes the measurements for small loads. Furthermore, the absolute intrinsic capacitance of an energy harvesting generator without additional capacitor can be determined from this type of measurement. An intrinsic capacitance of 15 pF was thus determined for the current demonstrator.

In addition to the prediction of the effective power, the time dependent output voltage \( V(t) \) of the generator is of interest. Whereas the conventional model only allows for modeling square-wave signals as the output voltage, the extended model enables to include typical charge and discharge curves of the capacitor \( C_p \). Both measured and calculated signals of the output voltage are shown in Fig. 9 for the parameters \( R_L = 50 \, \text{M} \Omega \) and 200 M\( \Omega \). An additional capacitor of 47 pF was placed in parallel with the load resistor. The total parasitic capacitance used in the calculations was 62 pF.

For \( R_L = 50 \, \text{M} \Omega \), the measured curve and the calculations just reach the saturation value, which is also predicted by the conventional model. With increasing resistive load, the demonstrator is not able to completely charge \( C_p \) during one period \( T \). For a load of 200 M\( \Omega \), the amplitude of the measured voltage is 5.8 V. The predicted amplitude using the conventional model is 15 V and thus almost three times larger. The amplitude calculated using the new model is 7.2 V, and therefore much closer to the measurement results. The remaining disagreement is attributed to the neglect of fringing electric fields at the edges of the electret areas, which result in a rounded curve instead of one with sharp kinks.

**CONCLUSIONS**

An extended analytical formula for the power output of electrostatic energy harvesting devices is derived. The formulation is based on an expression derived by Boland et al. in 2003 and takes the effect of parasitic capacitances on the output power into account. The newly derived model was experimentally verified using an electret-based rotational harvesting demonstrator.
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